

# DCP 313 Working Group Meeting 07

26 July 2018 at 09:00am

Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Alessandra De Zottis [ADZ]	UK Power Reserve
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSEN
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
<b>Observers</b>	
Edda Dirks [ED]	Ofgem
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (technical secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Kathryn Evans	SP Energy Networks
Simon Yeo	WPD

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting, held on 11 July 2018 and agreed that they were a true reflection of the discussions held.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review DCP 313 and discuss a non-binary solution and how this would affect the proposed legal text.

## 3. Review of Non-Binary Solution

---

- 3.1 At the last Working Group meeting, held on 11 July 2018, one Working Group member raised a potential change to a non-binary solution associated with Option 1. The Working Group took an action from the last meeting to determine whether there would be any impact on both Options if the Working Group moved towards a non-binary solution.
- 3.2 The original suggestion agreed for Option 1 was that if there is a mixed site, the technology type using greater than and equal to 50% of the capacity would be the one to determine whether they would receive a credit or not.
- 3.3 The legal text for this option being:

“If the Connectee utilises a combination of intermittent and non-intermittent generation technologies, all generation associated with that Connectee will be determined to be non-intermittent if the installed capacity of the non-intermittent generation is greater than or equal to 50% of the Maximum Export Capacity, otherwise it will be classed as intermittent.”
- 3.4 The Working Group discussed how a non-binary solution could work. It was agreed that the non-binary solution would be based on the non-intermittent generation installed capacity as a percentage of the Maximum Export Capacity being the proportion eligible for Charge 1 credits.
- 3.5 It was also confirmed by the Working Group that a non-binary solution is not required by Option 2B since the outcome of the F Factor is determined by P2/6.
- 3.6 One Working Group member voiced that they understood the advantages of creating a non-binary option but would be concerned that it could complicate the process when implemented alongside the Significant Code Review (SCR) work being conducted by Ofgem. However, it was noted that a non-binary option is trying to future-proof the process when more generation and storage connect to the network in the future.

- 3.7 It was highlighted that a different Change Proposal may need to be submitted to the DCUSA Panel to be able to develop a solution for mixed sites, however, this will depend on whether the access project of the Charging Futures Forum launches a SCR. AE agreed to forward details of what he believes the non-binary solution would not address in regards to mixed sites.

#### ACTION 07/01: AE

- 3.8 The Chair highlighted that there are two directions that the Working Group can take. They can either drop the non-binary option and raise a separate CP or they can consult and ask the industry to provide their views. The Working Group unanimously agreed that they should seek industry view and include the non-binary options within the consultation document.
- 3.9 The Chair questioned whether moving to a non-binary option would require an update to the Charging Models. One Working Group member confirmed that this would not be necessary as the EDCM can currently accept any number between 0 and 1.
- 3.10 The Working Group also agreed that they will include the impact assessment results from Option 1, that was conducted earlier on in the development of the CP, and the qualitative assessment that is being conducted on Option 2B being provided by TNEI when the Working Group receive their final report.

## 4. Review of DCP 313 Draft Legal Text

- 4.1 JL to an action to draft the legal text associated with the non-binary solution.
- 4.2 The Working Group reviewed the Option 2B legal text and agreed that they needed to consult industry on whether it is sufficient or whether it is too open to interpretation.
- 4.3 AE agreed to review the proposed legal text to ensure that the drafting in Option 2B is doing what it is intended to do.

#### ACTION 07/02: AE

- 4.4 The Chair also agreed to the draft legal text associated with the non-binary solution.

#### ACTION 07/03: ElectraLink

## 5. Potential Consultation Questions

- 5.1 The Working Group agreed that the consultation should include questions on the following:
- Option 1, Option 1A and Option 2B draft legal text;
  - Which DCUSA General Objective would be better facilitated;

- Whether mixed sites should be included within this CP;
- Whether the Working Group should continue to progress with the original proposal or include a binary option;
- Whether industry prefer Option 1, Option 1A or Option 2B and to consider the network access and future looking charges consultation in their decision; and
- Whether the implementation date of 01 April 2021 is acceptable.

## 6. Work Plan and Next Steps

---

6.1 The Working Group reviewed the work plan and an updated version can be found as attachment 1. The Working agreed the following next steps:

- The legal text to be updated to reflect the discussions held;
- ElectraLink to draft a consultation document and circulate to Working Group members by close of play on 03 August 2018;
- A further Working Group meeting to be held on 16 August to review the draft consultation document;
- The results of the qualitative assessment to be included into the consultation document; and
- The consultation document to be issued for industry view.

**ACTION 07/04: ElectraLink**

## 7. Any Other Business

---

7.1 There were no further items of AOB and the Chair closed the meeting.

## 8. Date of Next Meeting – 16<sup>th</sup> August

---

8.1 The Working Group agreed that the next Working Group meeting will be held on 16<sup>th</sup> August 2018 at 2pm.

## 9. Attachments

---

- Attachment 1 – DCP 313 Updated Work Plan

## New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
07/01	AE agreed to forward details of what he believes the non-binary solution would not address in regards to mixed sites	Andrew Enzor	
07/02	AE agreed to review the proposed legal text to ensure that the drafting in Option 2B is doing what it is intended to do	Andrew Enzor	
07/03	The Chair to draft legal text for both options to include the non-binary solution	ElectraLink	
07/04	The Secretariat to draft a consultation document and circulate to Working Group members by close of play on 03 August 2018	ElectraLink	

## Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	ElectraLink to review the DCP 313 legal text and Schedules 17 and 18 and provide the Working Group with all instances of where F Factor will be changed to PDG Factor to ensure the Working Group are comfortable with the name change.	ElectraLink	Action Closed
01/02	The Proposer agreed to circulate a table for DNOs to include their impact assessment results in so that it can be included in the consultation	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
01/03	ElectraLink to write out to DNOs who are not members of the Working Group to request that they complete the impact assessment on the EDCM	ElectraLink	Action Closed

01/04	DNOs to complete an impact assessment on the EDCM for both options of the proposed legal text changes.	DNOs	Action Closed
01/05	ElectraLink to draft the first consultation document and include the suggested questions as well as the generic consultation questions	ElectraLink	Action Closed
01/06	ElectraLink to update the work plan and submit to the DCUSA Panel for approval	ElectraLink	Action Closed
02/01	The Secretariat agreed to obtain further details on the P2/7 work from the Distribution Code administrator and see if there is a proposed implementation date for their change.	ElectraLink	Action Closed
02/02	AE to provide a small paragraph on the effects that the CP may have on the location of generation sites as the super red credits associated will depend on the network and any reinforcements.	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
02/03	The Secretariat to introduce a new line in the table for option 2 of the proposed legal text for "other non-intermittent generations" and include an average for each of the PDG Factors	ElectraLink	Action Closed
02/04	The Secretariat to include the definition of non-intermittent generation from P2/6 into the proposed legal text	ElectraLink	Action Closed
02/05	AE to provide a copy of the ETR 130 to the Secretariat	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
02/06	AE to provide an updated Npg spreadsheet without random data that can be used to populate the other DNO responses	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
02/07	DNOs to complete impact assessments for both options of the legal text by close of play on Wednesday 31 <sup>st</sup> January	DNO Working Group Members	Action Closed
02/08	The Secretariat will ensure the DNO that is not Working Group member completes the impact assessments before the deadline	ElectraLink	Action Closed
03/01	The Secretariat to extend the RFI request for impact assessments to be completed until close of play on 09 February 2018	ElectraLink	Action Closed
03/02	The Proposer to provide some text for inclusion in the consultation document to clarify the changes to intermittent generation credits	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed

<b>03/03</b>	The Secretariat to include the impact assessment results in the consultation document after the deadline has been completed	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>03/04</b>	The Secretariat to review Schedules 17 and 18 and include the correct table numbering in the proposed legal text	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>04/01</b>	The Secretariat to request acceptance from the DCUSA Panel on the suggested amendment to the intent of the Change Proposal	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>04/02</b>	DNO members of the Working Group to sense check the generators response to question 2 of the consultation with the P2/6 requirement.	DNO Working Group Members	Action Closed
<b>04/03</b>	The Secretariat to request further clarity on the response to question 3 from the generator	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>04/05</b>	The Proposer to include a clause within the proposed legal text to include transparency regarding credits being assigned to a dominant technology type on a mixed generation site	Andrew Enzor	Action Closed
<b>04/04</b>	The DNO Working Group members to obtain a status update on the P2/7 work and to check their understanding of the ETR130 in terms of whether intermittent and non-intermittent generation will be being retained.	DNO Working Group Members	Action Closed
<b>05/01</b>	The Chair to draft a strawman of the legal text for Option 2B and circulate to the Working Group by close of play on 15 <sup>th</sup> May	ElectraLink	Action Closed
<b>05/02</b>	The Secretariat to request for monies from the DCUSA Panel to be able to instruct TNEI to complete an impact assessment for Option 2B	ElectraLink	Action Closed – superseded by 06/02
<b>06/01</b>	Working Group members to determine whether the installed non-intermittent generation should be a percentage of the export capacity and be non-binary and whether this would affect the impact assessment completed at an earlier stage of the Change Process.	All WG Members	Action Closed
<b>06/02</b>	ElectraLink to request an updated quote from TNEI and submit a further paper to the DCUSA Panel for their determination.	ElectraLink	Action Closed



DCUSA